The Crystal Structure of Tetracarbonyl (Acrylonitrile) Iron

BY A. R. LUXMOORE AND MARY R. TRUTER

Department of Inorganic and Structural Chemistry, The University, Leeds 2, England

(Received 26 January 1962)

The crystal structure of tetracarbonyl(acrylonitrile)iron, $(CO)_4(CH_2 = CH - CN)Fe$, at low temperature, has been determined by three-dimensional methods. In a monoclinic unit cell,

 $a = 12.09, b = 11.45, c = 6.585 \text{ Å}; \beta = 110.4^{\circ},$

space group $P2_1/a$, there are four monomeric molecules. After anisotropic refinement, R = 0.094. The co-ordination round the iron atom is essentially a trigonal bipyramid; the apices are occupied by two carbonyl groups with Fe-C = 1.99 ± 0.01 Å and the equatorial plane contains two more Fe-C bonds of length 1.76 ± 0.01 Å and one bond from iron to the C:C double bond of aerylonitrile with Fe-C = 2.09 and 2.10 ± 0.01 Å. The equatorial plane consists of the iron atom, the two carbon atoms and two oxygen atoms of the carbonyl groups and the two carbon atoms of the double bond. The mean C-O bond length is 1.13 ± 0.02 Å and Fe-C-O is always linear. The dimensions in the coordinated aerylonitrile are CH₂=CH = 1.40, CH - C = 1.45, C - N = 1.20 Å, all ± 0.02 Å; C - CN is linear and the C - C - C angle is $116^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$.

Introduction

Acrylonitrile (or vinyl cyanide), $CH_2 = CH - CN$ forms complexes with metals, e.g. *bis* acrylonitrile nickel (Schrauzer, 1959) and tetracarbonyl (acrylonitrile) iron (Kettle & Orgel, 1960). Several modes of coordination are possible, but the spectroscopic evidence has been interpreted as indicating a strong interaction between the metal and the carbon-carbon double bond and none between the metal and the cyanide group.

The X-ray crystal-structure analysis of tetracarbonyl(acrylonitrile) iron was undertaken to establish the stereochemistry of the complex and to determine the bond lengths with sufficient accuracy to indicate the nature of the bonding; accordingly threedimensional methods were used and observations made at low temperature.

Experimental

A sample of the compound was provided by Dr S. F. A. Kettle. It melts at 40 °C. and readily sublimes at room temperature forming pale yellow crystals on cold surfaces. It is decomposed by light and in air and so it had to be stored in sealed tubes. Samples which were left undisturbed in the dark for some time were found to have changed; sometimes single crystals grew whiskers and sometimes several small crystals had formed one large one. Crystals were most conveniently examined in a petri dish which was surrounded by solid carbon dioxide and into which a stream of earbon dioxide was blown gently to maintain an inert atmosphere. When the dish was mounted on the stage of a binocular microscope a further stream of carbon dioxide was blown from below to prevent condensation of moisture from obscuring the view.

Single crystals, suitable for X-ray work, were sealed into glass capillary tubes. After prolonged exposure to X-rays they became polycrystalline and then decomposed. Eventually all the crystals decomposed before we could obtain photographs of a crystal set about [b]. However, for each of the [a]and [c] axes we obtained all the intensity photographs from one crystal which was not visibly different at the end of the exposures.

For observations with Co $K\alpha$ radiation, the crystals were cooled in a stream of cold nitrogen with an apparatus adapted from that described by Robertson (1960). Unit-cell dimensions were measured from rotation and Weissenberg photographs, a, c and $\sin\beta$ being determined by a least-squares method of successive approximation; the results were

$$a = 12 \cdot 09 \pm 0.03, \quad b = 11 \cdot 45 \pm 0.02, \quad c = 6 \cdot 58_5 \pm 0.01 \text{ Å},$$

$$\beta = 110 \cdot 4 \pm 0.7^{\circ} \text{ at } 120 \pm 10^{\circ} \text{K}.$$

The space group was uniquely determined as $P2_1/a$ and, with four formula units (M.W. = 220.96) per unit cell $(U = 854 \cdot 3 \text{ Å}^3)$, the calculated density was $1 \cdot 72$ g.cm.⁻³; this seemed a reasonable value compared with that of other iron carbonyl complexes (direct observation was rendered impossible by the reactivity of the compound). A crystal 0.15 mm. in diameter was set about the [a] axis and a set of equi-inclination Weissenberg photographs was taken for the layer lines $0kl \dots 4kl$, the temperature of the crystal being 120 ± 10 °K. Some photographs of several crystals at this temperature set about the [c] axis were obtained. Another crystal 0.15 mm. in diameter was set about the [c] axis and a set of equi-inclination Weissenberg photographs taken for hk0...hk3; at the end of this time it was found that a gradual 'softening' of a



Fig. 1. Projection of the structure down [001] showing the designations of all the atoms in one molecule. The numbers are distances in Å between the pairs of atoms indicated by dotted lines.



Fig. 2. Projection of the structure down [100]. The numbers are distances in Å between the pairs of atoms indicated by dotted lines and show the closest approach of a molecule to its neighbour in the next unit cell along [c].

Dewar tube had led to a rise in the temperature to about 220 °K. For both sets of multiple-film photographs, intensities were estimated visually with the aid of a calibration strip; then Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied on the Leeds University Ferranti Pegasus computer (which will now be called 'the computer') with a programme written by Dr F. M. Lovell, and Phillips (1954) corrections were applied with a programme written by one of us (A. R. L.). To correlate the layer lines and allow for the difference in temperature a set of simultaneous equations was set up to solve for $-AB_l$ (i.e. the temperature factor required to reduce the *l*th layer line about [c] to the same effective temperature, 120 °K., as used for photographs about [a]) and the scale factor; the calculation was carried out on the computer with a programme written by one of us (A. R. L.). The average value of $-\Delta B \text{ was } 1.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ Å}^2$ for all layer lines. No absorption correction was applied; the absorption coefficient is 43 cm.⁻¹.

Structure determination

Patterson projections down [001] and [100] served to locate the iron atoms and showed that they occupied general positions in the space group $P2_1/a$. With the co-ordinates x/a = 0.240, y/b = 0.073 and z/c = 0.212 the agreement indices for the iron atom only were $R_{hk0} = 0.44$, $R_{0kl} = 0.53$. Attempts to solve the structure in projection were unsuccessful.

The signs of the structure factors were calculated for all (hkl) reflections for the iron atom only. A three-

-4

440 -449

1	۰,	Fc	1	Fo	Fc	1	Fo	F _c	1	F	, F _c			Fo	У.,
1 2 3	c c 1 79 06 544	2 5 50 - 51 5	ž	6 12 1 131 229	169 -265	5 4 3	8 1 283 111 140	312 109 140	K 5 4	3 3 1 2' 4'	57 300 51 251 58 -512		2 1 0 -1	2 10 1 139 65 151 202	-128 -75 -147 -181
4 5 6	217 230 153 c : 1	199	6 4 3 2	407 347 349 128 248	-415 300 307 80	1 0 -1 -2	385 54 127 374	395 37 132 -334	3 2 1 0 -1	11 6 3	44 1378 33 -708 35 326		• ≏ 3	140 2 11 1 15 ⁶ 230	112 161 -240
1 3 4 5 4	3/3 610 110 15 15 15	5 19 505 223 270 -135	-1 -1 -3	108 566 639 494 241	-140 783 673 -458 -153	-3	115 186 9 1 96	-103	-3 -4 -6	2	59 255 44 290 13 -266	:	1 0 -1 -2	198 128 302 162	-213 100 352 179
	0 - 1 470 102	520 60	5 3	I 2 1 443 277 379	~443 233 ~410	-1 -1 -3	198 295 155 219	14 ⁹ -194 -259 124 294	5 3 2 1	1 3 3	89 171 59 43 11 -201 36 365 62 230		。 -1	2 12 1 135 191 2 10 1	-165
3	330 217 230	- 311 207 231	-1 -2 -3 -4	6 52 54 5 208 240 216	-901 -642 195 232 -207	4 3 3	1 10 1 160 338	147 343 349	- 1 -2 -3 -4 -6	2 I 4 I I	56 -241 12 -96 26 -403 71 152 33 206		-3 6 4	189 3 T 1 175 39T	80 179 -344
1 2 3 4 7	170 199 531 477 146	-200 -101 201 -101	} 6 2	523 I 3 1 I 48 222	- 538 139 - 227	-2 -3	385 139 126	353 -124 -129	4 3 2	2 5 I I	1 97 -199 91 -186 72 4 ^R		2 1 -1 -2	150 1069 380 593 811	149 1267 403 -645 -846
к. 0 1	4 ⁶ 1 c 4 1 1017 777	-435 -1038 -787	1 0 -1 -2 -3	528 149 228 79 64	- 570 145 - 150 - 52 - 60	3 2 1 →1	110 189 191 208 312	201 201 701 701 701	- 1 - 1 - 3 - 4	4 2 4 4	f 2 500 73 62 13 -177 50 416 10 453		-3 -4 -5	603 144 3 2 1 274	527 -131
î,	211 161 0 5 1 430	197 -14? -42 ⁶	-4 5 4	04 I 4 1 298 496	- 53 - 342 - 451	-2 -3 2	112 4 ⁸ 112 1 162	-100 -55	5 4 3	2 6 I I I I	1 71 :3? 25 :141 50 -158		4 3 2 1 0	461 110 337 443 282	413 73 -327 -380 285
3346	478 109 375 225	-457 -70 175 -167	3 2 1 0 -1	450 106 212 698 262	395 129 -183 -747 207	6 5	143 201 123 288	-125 -351		2 2 7 4	02 215 14 750 50 437 43 -475	-	-1 -2 -3 -4 -5	610 974 418 282 258	- 568 -976 -412 271 235
234	0 - 1 830 436 265	-849 281 415 -270	-3	274 522 461 I 5 1	508 - 519	4 3 - I - 2	311 395 234 247 1133	397 285 -188 1018		2 7 . 2 7 . 1	1 319 1 32 -31 20 -31	·	-6 6 5	80 3 3 1 100 144	83 159 129
	94 94 0 7 1 109	-78	4 3 2 1	133 219 385 360 357	-231 -346 350 368	-3	139 563 2 T 1 230	-667		2 I 2 1	68 297 45 -144 28 -222 35 -123 17 -195		3 1 -1	146 233 117 524 327	-135 -134 -03 -576 337
• 5 0 1	60 0 6 1 227 193	200 -198 144	-1 -2 -4 -5	469 245 365 241	-482 -207 367 -234	3 3 1 0	220 229 231 373 74	-218 -192 232 342 11		2 9 I 2	1 49 -146 95 -293 97 -297		-3 -4 -6	207 302 155 3 4 1	193 270 -162
2	380 135 303	343 129 -239	5 3 2 1	I 6 3 113 163 310 345	-85 159 328 323	-1 -2 -3 -4.	423 181 190 115 112	-447 -183 -129 -59 113			00 404 72 273 52 -235 97 -172 80 215		5 4 3 2 1	130 259 251 633 347	147 244 -210 -720 341
1 2 3 4	294 203 97 292	271 202 -79 -283	- 1 - 3	366 304 122 1 7 1	-330 346 106	5 2 X	2 2 1 165 304 185	-172 315 -187		39 1 1	76 181 66 86 50 -123		-1 -2 -3 -5	503 226 282 198 426	508 250 -281 -187 414
I	0 10 1 137 0 11 2 218	1 T 9 2 2 2	4 3 2 1 1 - 1	156 178 156 801 428	-189 -176 161 897 -439	-1 -2 -3 -4	636 145 90 604 158	-760 -93 101 585 -154			199 -309 114 -198 186 290 125 -340 122 -367		532	3 5 1 122 325 357	133 316 -369
2 3	220 146	245 -146		2 5 3 4 4 2 2 2 7 8 3	-239 407 212 69		314	-212				:	-1	*/3 366 556 386	-361 547 362

Table 1. Observed and calculated structure factors Values are 10 times absolute scale. Reflections too weak to be observed have been omitted

Table 1 (cont.)

1	F _o F _a	2 F _o F _c	1 F ₀ F	1. 7 ₀ 7 ₀	: 7 _. 7 _.
معند بالمعالية المعالية المنافعة المنافعة المنافعة المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعا 	• • • •	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 5 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
• 	723 -256 637 005 840 -1058 804 -873 140 -114 378 348 1 1 319 315 348 230 728 348 230 728 348 230 728 348 231 -288 341 -288 311 -488 313 -488		4 7 1 4 7 1 5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
4 5 3 -1 -1 -3 -5	1 135 137 136 137 138 139 131 344 155 160 160 160 134 135 140 140 155 160 160 160 160 134 140 155 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 161 162 163 164 165 164 165 164 165 164 165 165 166 167 168 168 169 164 165 166	3 304 306 3 207 317 	- 6 8 1 = 226221 1 266 - 266 -1 226 - 127 -1 226 - 127 -1 226 - 127 -1 - 226 -1 - 226	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
4 3 1 -1 -3 -1 -4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} 5 & \eta & 1 \\ \tau & 1 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 9 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 & 2 & -1 & 4 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 & 3 & -1 & 4 \\ \eta & 1 & 1 & 3 & 7 & -1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 7 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 7 & 1 & 7 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 7 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ -2 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
5 3 -1 -3 -4 -5 -6	4 2 94 - 79 117 193 144 213 144 213 144 213 144 213 144 213 144 213 145 123 145 17 158 - 154 155 183	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-3 119 300 -3 4 1 -7 17Å -134 -7 17Å -134 -7 134 10° -3 11° 165 -13 3 5 -1 1° 5

	x/a	y/b	z/c	$\sigma(x)$	$\sigma(y)$	$\sigma(z)$
Fe	0.2396	0.4247	0.2053	0·002 Å	0.002 Å	0·003 Å
C_1	-0.373	0.373	0.025	0.014	0.013	0.014
Ċ,	-0.160	0.399	-0.007	0.013	0.011	0.014
C.	-0.160	0.304	0.355	0.014	0.012	0.012
C₄ —	-0.322	0.448	0.418	0.013	0.011	0.016
C ₅	-0.251	0.604	0.136	0.012	0.012	0.016
Č,	-0.141	0.579	0.292	0.012	0.013	0.016
Č,	-0.128	0.608	0.513	0.012	0.012	0.016
0,	-0.459	0.342	-0.090	0.010	0.009	0.010
0,	-0.117	0.381	-0.136	0.010	0.009	0.010
0_{1}	-0.110	0.227	0.420	0.009	0.008	0.010
0_1	-0.369	0.456	0.541	0.015	0.010	0.012
N	-0.114	0.634	0.691	0.012	0.011	0.013

Fe

 $\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{C_1} \\ \mathbf{C_2} \\ \mathbf{C_3} \\ \mathbf{C_4} \\ \mathbf{C_5} \\ \mathbf{C_6} \\ \mathbf{C_7} \\ \mathbf{O_1} \\ \mathbf{O_2} \end{smallmatrix}$

03

 O_4

Table 2. Final fractional co-ordinates and standard deviations

dimensional Fourier synthesis was calculated with a programme written by Pilling, Lovell & Bujosa (Cruickshank et al., 1961). The four carbonyl groups were easily identified and there were five other peaks of 4-5 e.Å⁻³. As there are only four atoms in acrylonitrile one of these peaks was spurious; to determine which, and also to find which peak represented a nitrogen atom, a cycle of least-squares refinement was carried out. The co-ordinates of all five positions were taken as those of carbon atoms, which, with the iron atom and the four carbonyl groups, were included in the structure-factor calculation. The scattering factor of Watson & Freeman (1961) was used for Fe and of Berghuis et al. (1955) for carbon and oxygen with isotropic temperature factors corresponding to mean square amplitudes of vibration of 0.02 Å² for iron and 0.03 Å² for the other atoms. R was 0.36 and large shifts in the temperature factors of two of the five 'carbon' atoms identified the spurious and the nitrogen atoms. The structure is depicted in Figs. 1 and 2 in projection along [001] and [100] respectively.

Refinement

Refinement was carried out by the method of least squares with a programme written by Cruickshank & Pilling (1961). After 3 cycles of isotropic refinement the isotropic vibration parameter for iron (0.018 Å^2) was greater than that of some of the light atoms, an effect which seemed attributable to neglect of the effect of anomalous dispersion. The real contribution of the anomalous dispersion, 3.8e, was subtracted from the scattering factor for iron and another cycle of refinement carried out; this gave a vibration parameter of 0.0023 Å² for iron compared with 0.012 Å², the smallest value for a carbon atom. A three-dimensional difference synthesis also indicated that the scattering factor of iron was now too low, and so an anomalous dispersion correction of 2 electrons was used; this proved satisfactory for 2 cycles of isotropic and 5 cycles of anisotropic refinement. Refinement was complete when the largest shift in a parameter was 0.3 times the corresponding standard deviation. To check the scattering factor of iron a final difference section was calculated and

showed a small trough of $-\frac{1}{4}$ e.Å⁻³ at this position and zero at the positions of the carbonyl carbon atoms. The final observed and calculated structure factors are shown in Table 1; for these $R_{hkl}=0.094$.

In the least-squares refinement the function minimized was $R' = \sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$ where the weighting factor w was

$$1/\{6.66 + |F_o| + 0.00625|F_o|^2\};$$

this made the average value of R' for a set of planes within a range of $|F_o|$ approximately constant for all ranges of $|F_o|$. An approximate scale factor had been obtained from a Wilson plot for the *hk*0 projection and the scale factor was one of the parameters in the refinement.

In Table 2 the atomic co-ordinates and their standard deviations are given. Table 3 shows the final values, referred to the monoclinic crystal axes, for the tensor components describing the anisotropic vibration of the atoms; the standard deviations calculated in the least squares refinement are also shown. The tensor components U_{ij} are those occurring in the temperature factor

$$\exp\left(-2\pi^2(h^2a^{*2}U_{11}+2hka^{*}b^{*}U_{12}+\ldots)\right),\,$$

so that, for instance, U_{11} is the mean-square amplitude of vibration of the atom parallel to the a^* axis.

Although the vibration parameters are small, an analysis of the thermal motion was carried out with a programme written by Bujosa & Cruickshank

Table 3. Thermal parameters

$(\times 10^3 \text{ A}^2)$											
U_{11}	σ	U_{22}	σ	U_{33}	σ	U_{12}	σ	U_{23}	σ	U_{13}	σ
4	1	13	I	15	1	- 1	1	1	1	4	1
2	7	25	7	8	7	8	6	7	6	11	7
0	6	9	6	9	7	-4	5	— 1	5	- 6	7
7	7	12	6	21	8	-4	5	-12	5	- 9	7
0	6	9	6	18	8	10	5	0	5	-3	7
15	7	4	6	30	9	2	5	-2	5	-3	8
19	7	15	7	23	9	1	6	-17	6	14	8
20	7	3	6	32	9	5	$\tilde{0}$	8	6	23	8
10	5	31	5	15	5	-7	4	3	4	- 7	$\overline{\mathbf{a}}$
17	5	21	5	12	5	5	4	— I	4	14	5
14	5	9	4	25	6	6	4	3	4	3	õ
34	6	24	5	40	7	1	$\tilde{5}$	- 2	5	25	7
12	6	18	6	20	7	5	$\tilde{5}$	5	\tilde{D}	7	6

(Cruickshank, 1956; Cruickshank *et al.*, 1961). The results showed that the assumption that the molecule moved as a rigid body was justified within experimental error, a particularly satisfactory result in view of the uncertainty in the correction for the anomalous dispersion of the iron atom. As shown in Table 4, most of the motion was translational, so that very small corrections in the co-ordinates would be required to allow for the systematic error due to rotational oscillation; these corrections were calculated by Cruickshank's improved procedure (1961) and the largest change in a co-ordinate was 0.003 Å (in x for O₄). In Table 5 the corrected co-ordinates are shown in Å, X', Y and Z' being measured with respect to orthogonal axes parallel to a, b and c*.

 Table 4. Principal axes of the translational and rotational tensors with respect to orthogonal axes parallel to the crystallographic a, b and c* axes

Mean square amplitude of translation	Direction cosines				
0.004 Å ²	-0.935	0.253	-0.249		
0.018	-0.228	0.108	0.968		
0.013	0.271	0.962	-0.044		
Root mean square angular oscillation					
0°	-0.979	0.168	-0.120		
2.6	-0.023	0.489	0.872		
2.1	0.205	0.856	-0.474		

 Table 5. Corrected atomic co-ordinates with respect to orthogonal axes

	X'	Y	Z'
Fe	-3·367 Å	4.862 Å	1·267 Å
C ₁	-4.570	4.269	0.121
C,	-1.915	4.566	-0.045
C,	-2.745	$3 \cdot 480$	$2 \cdot 193$
C₄	-4.856	5.127	2.577
C ₅	-3.345	6.914	0.835
C ₆	-2.376	6.628	1.803
C ₇	-2.723	6.960	3.166
0,	-5.342	3.912	-0.555
0,	-1.098	4.368	-0.839
0_{3}	-2.364	2.592	2.780
0,	-5.707	5.222	3.339
N	-2.974	7.261	4.302

Dimensions of the molecule

The molecule is monomeric and consists of five ligands arranged about the iron in the form of a trigonal bipyramid as depicted in Fig. 3. The bond lengths and angles were calculated from the corrected coordinates as given by the computer to four decimal places, not from the rounded-off values in Table 5. The bond lengths are shown in Fig. 3. Their standard deviations are 0.012–0.014 Å for Fe-C, 0.016–0.019 Å for C-O, 0.020 Å for C-N and 0.022 Å for C-C. The bond angles and their standard deviations are shown in Table 6. The equatorial plane of the trigonal bipyramid contains the iron atom, C₁, O₁, C₃ and O₃ and the two carbon atoms, C_5 and C_6 which constitute one ligand: the equation of the plane is

$$0.704 X' - 0.157 Y' - 0.693 Z' + 3.999 = 0$$

and the maximum deviation from it is +0.038 Å for C₆.



Fig. 3. The bond lengths, Å in tetracarbonyl(acrylonitrile) iron.

The acrylonitrile group is also planar, the equation is

$$0.405X' + 0.905Y' - 0.132Z' - 4.790 = 0$$
.

It is not parallel to the O_4-O_2 axis of the trigonal bipyramid, the angle between this axis and the normal to the plane being 76.4°. Probably this represents an equilibrium between the repulsion between N and O_4 which are separated by 3.54 Å and the attraction between the π bond system and the iron.

Discussion

The structure consists of monomeric molecules held only by van der Waals forces and so accounts for the high volatility of the solid. All the intermolecular separations of less than 3.5 Å were calculated; there were no abnormally short values, and the more important ones are shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

As predicted by Kettle & Orgel (1960) from a study of the infra-red spectrum, only the olefine group of the acrylonitrile molecule is co-ordinated to the iron. The dimensions of the uncomplexed molecule, determined spectroscopically (Costain & Stoicheff, 1959), are $C: C=1\cdot339$, $C-C=1\cdot426$, $C: N=1\cdot164$ Å, $C-\hat{C}-C$ $=122^{\circ}37'$, and C-C-N was assumed to be linear. Formation of the complex has resulted in two significant changes; the bond length of the olefinic bond has increased by 3 times the standard deviation to $1\cdot40$ Å, and the C-C-C angle has been reduced by 6 times the standard deviation to 116° . A similar increase in

bond length has been found in other olefine complexes (e.g. Alderman, Owston & Rowe, 1960). The observed increase in the olefine bond length is in agreement with the theory that the olefine-metal bonding consists of a σ -bond formed between electrons in the filled π bonding orbital on the olefine and an empty orbital on the metal, and of a π -bond formed between electrons in a filled orbital on the metal and an empty anti-bonding orbital on the ligand. The geometry of the molecule indicates that both these orbitals on the iron atom lie in the equatorial plane. Bond angles can be affected by environmental factors as well as by the hybridization ratio on the central atoms. In this structure there does not seem to be an environmental factor favouring a decrease in the $C-\hat{C}-C$ angle, so that it may be attributed to a change in the hybridization ratio which now includes a larger proportion of p character in the carbon-carbon bonds.

The four independent C–O bond lengths do not differ significantly from their mean value 1.13 Å which is the same as that in carbon monoxide. The Fe–C–O groups are all linear.

Table 6. Bond angles and their standard deviations

$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C}_5 - \mathbf{Fe} - \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_1 - \mathbf{Fe} - \mathbf{C}_3 \\ \mathbf{C}_3 - \mathbf{Fe} - \mathbf{C}_6 \\ \mathbf{C}_6 - \mathbf{Fe} - \mathbf{C}_5 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 102{\cdot}0^\circ \ 108{\cdot}1 \ 110{\cdot}9 \ 39{\cdot}0 \end{array} ight)$	$\pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Fe-C_1-O_1} \\ \operatorname{Fe-C_2-O_2} \\ \operatorname{Fe-C_3-O_3} \\ \operatorname{Fe-C_4-O_4} \end{array}$	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 179^{\circ} \\ 178 \\ 179 \\ 177 \end{array} \right\}$	<u>+</u> l°
$C_2 - Fe - C_1$ $C_2 - Fe - C_3$	$\begin{array}{c}91\cdot8\\88\cdot4\\\end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Fe-C_5} \mathrm{C_6} \\ \mathrm{Fe-C_6-C_5} \end{array}$	$\left. egin{smallmatrix} 70{\cdot}4\ 70{\cdot}6 \end{smallmatrix} ight\}$	$\pm 0.7^{\circ}$
$C_2 - F \odot \cdot C_5$ $C_2 \cdot F \odot \cdot C_6$ $C_2 \cdot F \odot \cdot C_6$	90+1 87+0	$\pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$C_5 - C_6 - C_7$	116	± l°
$C_4 - F \Theta - C_1$ $C_4 - F \Theta - C_3$ $C_4 - F \Theta - C_5$	$87.2 \\ 91.3 \\ 90.7$		C_6-C_7-N	178	±l°
$C_4 - Fe - C_6$	94.2				

If the centre of the olefine bond is considered as one ligand position, the iron atom is surrounded by five ligands at the corners of an approximately trigonal bipyramid. In the equatorial plane, the observed bond angles (Table 6) differ from the 'ideal' values, C_1 -Fe- C_3 = 120° and C_5 -Fe- C_1 = C_6 -Fe- C_3 = 100.5°, in a direction which suggests that the Fe-C₃-O₃ bond is repelled by the cyanide group. If the five bonding orbitals on the iron are formed by hybridization of the $3d_{z^2}$, the 4s and the three 4p orbitals, the configuration predicted (Kimball, 1940) is a trigonal bipyramid, as we have found; further, this hybridization should lead to longer apical than equatorial bonds in agreement with our observation for the Fe-carbonyl carbon bonds: apical = 1.99 + 0.01Å, equatorial = 1.76 ± 0.01 Å. (As the 'trigonal' axis lies almost along the $[10\overline{1}]$ axis of the crystal, see Fig. 4, the bond lengths are sensitive to errors in β . For example if β is reduced by 1° the apical bond length decreases by 0.02 Å, but the observed difference in the two kinds of bond length is 0.23 Å which is highly significant.)

This is the second compound of iron in which a



Fig. 4. One molecule viewed down the [010] axis showing the relation between the O_2 -Fe- O_4 direction and [$\overline{1}01$]. The fractional y co-ordinates of O_2 and O_4 are marked.

trigonal bipyramidal configuration has been found: the first was iron pentacarbonyl which was investigated by electron diffraction by Ewens & Lister (1939). In that determination the bond lengths were assumed to be equal, the mean value being 1.84 ± 0.03 Å, which is in agreement with our mean value of 1.85 Å (two lengths of 1.99 Å and three of 1.76 Å). Although the observed dipole moment and some interpretations of the infra-red spectrum have since favoured a tetragonal-pyramidal configuration for iron pentacarbonyl, Cotton & Parish (1960) in the most recent survey of the evidence consider a trigonal bipyramid to be more probable. They also, on the basis of the infra-red spectra of a number of complexes $LFe(CO)_4$ (where L is Ph_3P , CH_3 . NC, C_2H_5NC , C_6H_5NC) deduce that these five-co-ordinated complexes are based on a trigonal bipyramid with L in the apical position. Our results support the view that five-co-ordinate Fe(O) compounds are trigonal bipyramids but in our compound (with $L = CH_2 : CH \cdot C : N$) the substitution is equatorial. It should be possible to distinguish between apical and equatorial substitution from the infra-red spectra, the former giving rise to 3 and the latter to 4 carbonyl stretching frequencies. Kettle & Orgel reported a weak peak at 2226 cm.⁻¹ (the normal C:N region) and a medium one at 2110 cm.-1 and two strong peaks one with an almost resolved shoulder in the 2050-1950 cm.-1 region; it thus appears that, as predicted, there are four peaks in the carbonyl region 2110-1950 cm.⁻¹, the one at 2110 cm.⁻¹ corresponding to a particularly strong C-O bond.

Two factors other than the hybridization of the

iron should affect the Fe–C bond lengths, ligand field repulsion and π -bonding; both arise from the filled orbitals on the iron, d_{xz} , d_{yz} , d_{xy} and $d_{x^2...y^2}$. The z direction is that of the O₂–C₂–Fe–C₄–O₄ so that there is no filled orbital directed towards these two carbonyl groups and both the d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals have the



Fig. 5. The equatorial plane showing the suggested orientation of (a) the d_{xy} and (b) the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals with respect to the ligands.

correct symmetry for the formation of π -bonds. Comparison with the equatorial plane shows that both factors should tend to favour longer equatorial than apical bonds. In the equatorial plane it is not possible to choose directions for x and y such that there is no filled d_{xy} or $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital pointing towards a ligand. The arrangement which would give the best opportunity for π -bonding is shown in Fig. 5; this allows the d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals to form π bonds with C₁ and C₃ respectively while the d_{xy} orbital can form π bonds with both. Thus there would be two π bonds to each carbonyl as for C_2 and C_4 but the geometry is somewhat less favourable for overlap and so a longer Fe-C bond would be predicted. The electrons in the filled $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital should tend to repel C₁ and C₃, again giving a longer equatorial bond. Nevertheless, the observed equatorial bond lengths are significantly

shorter than the axial ones indicating that the hybridization effect is of over-riding importance.

So far it has not been possible to discover whether the radius of iron in compounds containing ironcarbon bonds varies with the oxidation state of the iron. The results of Hock & Mills (1961) for $Fe_2(CO)_6MeC: CMe$ suggest that the difference must be small; their mean Fe(O)-CO bond length is 1.766 Å while the mean Fe(II)-CO bond length is 1.784 Å. These are comparable with the corresponding equatorial bond lengths. The iron-olefine carbon bond lengths are not significantly different from the Fe(O)- π -bond carbon, $2 \cdot 13 \pm 0.01$ Å, found by Hock & Mills (1961) or even the Fe(II)- π -bond carbon in ferrocene 2.05 ± 0.02 Å (Dunitz, Orgel & Rich, 1956). The arrangement in Fig. 5 shows the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital with the correct symmetry to form a π bond to an empty orbital on the olefine.

The system of orbital directions in Fig. 5, chosen to give optimum π -bonding in the observed configuration, also gives more π -bonding than could be attained if the acrylonitrile were attached in an apical position; this may account for the preferred equatorial substitution.

We are grateful to Dr Kettle for giving us the compound, to our colleagues (Cruickshank *et al.*, 1961) for the use of their programmes and to the Director of the Leeds University Computing Laboratory for the use of the computer. One of us (A. R. L.) thanks the D.S.I.R. for a Studentship. Some of the apparatus was provided by the Royal Society and Imperial Chemical Industrics Ltd.

References

- ALDERMAN, P. R. H., OWSTON, P. G. & ROWE, J. M. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 149.
- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, IJ. M., POTTERS, M., LOOP-STRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAAL, A. L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.
- COSTAIN, C. C. & STOICHEFF, B. P. (1959). J. Chem. Phys. 30, 777.
- COTTON, F. A. & PARISH, R. V. (1960). J. Chem. Soc., p. 1440.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 754.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 896.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J., PILLING, D. E., BUJOSA, A., LOWELL, F. M. & TRUTER, M. R. (1961). Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis, p. 32. London: Pergamon Press.
- DUNITZ, J. D., ORGEL, L. E. & RICH., A. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 373.
- EWENS, R. V. G. & LISTER, M. W. (1939). Trans. Faraday Soc. 35, 681.
- Носк, А. А. & MILLS, O. S. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 139.
- KETTLE, S. F. A. & ORGEL, L. E. (1960). Chem. and Indus., p. 49.
- KIMBALL, J. (1940). J. Chem. Phys. 8, 188.
- PHILLIPS, D. C. (1954). Acta Cryst. 7, 746.
- ROBERTSON, J. H. (1960). J. Sci. Instrum. 37, 41.
- SCHRAUZER, G. N. (1959). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 81, 5310.
- WATSON, R. E. & FREEMAN, A. J. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 27.